

Correction

## Corrigendum to “Socioeconomic Status, Cultural Capital, and Social Capital in Adults: A Structural Equation Model” [Psicothema 34(1), 74-83]

Elisa Menardo<sup>1</sup>, Marta Viola<sup>2</sup>, Irene Pierluigi<sup>3</sup>, Chiara Cretella,<sup>3</sup> Roberto Cubelli<sup>4</sup> and Giulia Balboni<sup>3</sup>

1 University of Verona, Verona, (Italy)

2 Sapienza University of Roma, Roma, (Italy)

3 University of Perugia, Perugia, (Italy)

4 University of Trento, Trento, (Italy)

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2023.193>

### Text:

This article was originally published with errors, which have now been corrected in the online version:

1. The investigated alternative models have now been described more clearly. The method for comparing them with the original model has been correctly specified based only on Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) and not on the delta Comparative Fit Index, given that the models are not nested.
2. The formula for computing the critical value to which comparing the Mardia's index for verifying if the data are multivariate normally distributed is equal to  $k(k+2)$  and not, as previously written, equal to  $k(k+1)$ .

3. We have now specified that, in the Structural Equation Model, the correlations introduced between the dependent variables are correlations between the unexplained variance and thus may be described as partial correlations.
4. Finally, we have corrected the direction of the arrows of the lines from Participating, Consuming, and Expert Using observed variables toward the corresponding Cultural Capital latent variable and from Bonding and Bridging observed variables toward the corresponding social capital latent variable.

**DOI of original article:** (<https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2021.231>)